

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Downgrades Metropolitan Thames Valley Housing Group (MTVH) to 'A-'; Outlook Stable

Fri 27 Sep, 2024 - 06:52 ET

Fitch Ratings - London - 27 Sep 2024: Fitch Ratings has downgraded Metropolitan Thames Valley Housing Group's (MTVH) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) to 'A-' from 'A'. The Outlook is Stable. Fitch has also downgraded the Short-Term IDR to 'F1' from 'F1+'.

The downgrade reflects MTVH's worsening financial leverage metrics.

Fitch views MTVH as a government-related entity (GRE) of the United Kingdom (AA-/Stable). We have 'Strong Expectations' of support from the state for MTVH. This leads to a one-notch uplift from the 'bbb+' Standalone Credit Profile (SCP), which is four notches below the sovereign. Continuing high demand for social and affordable housing and ongoing cash flow from rented properties continue to support MTVH's credit, despite the challenging economic environment.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Support Score Assessment 'Strong expectations'

We have 'Strong expectations' of extraordinary support for MTVH in case of need, reflecting a support score of 20 (out of a maximum 60) under Fitch's GRE criteria. This reflects a combination of responsibility to support and incentive to support factors assessment as below.

Responsibility to Support

Decision Making and Oversight 'Strong'

As a private, not-for-profit social housing registered provider (RP) in the UK, MTVH is not owned by the UK government due to its structure and status. In strict terms there is no legal owner, with all surpluses reinvested to provide social housing. We consider the

regulatory framework for English social housing as having a robust legal basis, and the Regulator of Social Housing as maintaining sound control and tight monitoring of RPs. The regulator's history of oversight and (non-financial) intervention in (rare) cases of distress is a key factor behind the sector's solidity.

Precedents of Support 'Strong'

MTVH receives financial support through grants from Homes England and the Greater London Authority at varying levels for social, affordable and shared ownership development. This is ongoing to support additional subsidised housing, not to finance debt or prevent default.

Fitch takes into account the support mechanisms the issuer can benefit from, or have benefited from, via its sponsor, the UK. Policy influence is supportive of the financial stability of RPs, with very few entering financial difficulties and none reaching a default scenario. Regulatory restrictions on government support are unlikely to prevent timely intervention in exceptional circumstances.

Incentives to Support

Preservation of Government Policy Role 'Strong'

Social housing is a key public service. There would be no immediate impact on the service in case of default, but there would be a medium-term impact on the provision of service as RPs rely on external financing to finance their maintenance capex and new investments. In the unlikely event of financial default, other RPs could act as substitutes, with only temporary disruption to the service offered by the RP and diminished medium-term service provision due to reduced financial resilience and access to finance.

Contagion Risk 'N/A'

Default would have a minimal impact on either the availability or cost of domestic financing of the UK. Fitch considers that a default would be treated as an isolated case of mismanagement or viability concerns. as Consequently, this should not affect the sector at large. However, it could cause questions about the role of the regulator and sponsor.

Standalone Credit Profile

MTVH's 'bbb+' SCP reflects a combination of a 'Stronger' risk profile and a financial profile assessed in the 'bb' category, with forecast leverage expected to return to around 13x by FY29 (FY24: 15.3x)

Risk Profile: 'Stronger'

Fitch assesses MTVH's risk profile at 'Stronger', reflecting the combination of assessments:

Revenue Risk: 'Stronger'

The overall revenue risk assessment of 'Stronger' is based on 'Stronger' demand and pricing characteristics. Revenue risk is driven by the high demand for social and affordable housing in the UK, and factors in MTVH's market-rate activity with high prices in the region it operates in and revenue from care activities. MTVH anticipates cross-subsidisation through market and shared ownership sales, which should offer some flexibility on pricing.

Expenditure Risk: 'Stronger'

This assessment reflects 'Stronger' operating costs and supply risk and 'Stronger' investment planning.

MTVH's costs are well-identified and show low volatility. MTVH also has high flexibility on its investment and maintenance programmes, if needed. MTVH has new stock in comparison with others in the sector, with over 70% less than 40 years old, and almost 50% less than 20 years old. Newer stock limits the costs of maintenance required to meet its duty to provide quality housing for tenants. In total, 892 new homes were delivered in FY24 (657 in FY23), including 480 for general needs and 278 shared ownership. MTVH has 5,556 new homes in its five-year pipeline.

Liabilities and Liquidity Risk: 'Stronger'

Fitch assesses debt and liquidity characteristics for MTVH at 'Stronger', driven by its low-risk, long-dated debt portfolio and strong access to finance and liquidity.

MTVH currently has around GBP1.9 billion debt. It operates in a fully developed financial market with full access to banks, Debt Capital Markets and Private Placements. Around 90% of net debt (87%) is fixed rate, limiting exposure to fluctuations in the capital markets. Overall, MTVH has a strong debt profile with smooth and long-term repayment profile (average life of debt is over six years) with little short-term debt.

At end-FY24, MTVH had GBP807 million (2023: GBP725 million) undrawn committed credit lines from revolving credit facilities. It also held around GBP93 million in cash, providing adequate liquidity to support its business plan in the medium term.

Financial Profile

Fitch assess MTVH's financial profile at 'bb' and views its performance as adequate, but deteriorating due to challenges in recent years and those expected in the near term caused by external macroeconomic pressures. MTVH's net adjusted debt/EBITDA has been increasing in recent years, but we expect that it peaked in FY24 as a result of non-recurring expenditure related to fire and building safety costs.

We expect leverage to improve over the rating case as these costs diminish. However, we expect it to average around 13.5x across the three years to FY29. Reduced development across the rating case limits fluctuations in cash flow, but also limits EBITDA generation, resulting in elevated leverage metrics.

Fitch stresses management's expectations to achieve its rating-case scenario. We expect MTVH's operating revenue to average about GBP493 million between FY25 and FY29, with EBITDA averaging GBP161 million a year. As a result of the material capex plan, we expect net adjusted debt to EBITDA to improve towards around 13x, in line with high 'bbb' category SCP peers. In FY24, MTVH reported operating revenue of GBP421 million (FY23: GBP390 million), with EBITDA of GBP121 million (FY23: GBP112 million). Net debt at end-FY24 was GBP1,817 million (FY23: GBP1,744 million).

Additional Risk Factors Considerations

Asymmetric risk attributes are all assessed as 'Neutral' due to a strong regulatory framework, transparent reporting of information and a risk averse debt structure. Debt is mostly fixed rate and vanilla in nature (sterling bonds and bank debt). Governance and management are assessed by the regulator and most RPs have compliant ratings of either G1 or G2. They operate under English law, which is considered strong, and their Country Ceiling is 'AAA'. Information quality is strong, with external publications internally and externally audited.

Derivation Summary

MTVH's rating is driven by its SCP, which we have revised to 'bbb+' from 'a-'. MTVH's 'bbb+' SCP is driven by the assessment of a 'Stronger' risk profile and a 'bb' financial profile, and comparison with peers in the sector. We view MTVH as a government-related entity in the UK, with a support score of 20 points. This results in a bottom-up approach, with a one-notch uplift from the SCP to the 'A-' Long-Term IDR.

Short-Term Ratings

The Short-Term IDR is at the higher of two possible outcomes, 'F1', as a result of the 'A-' Long-Term IDR and strong liquidity metrics.

Issuer Profile

MTVH is a social housing RP based primarily in London and the south-east, providing around 57,000 affordable homes. MTVH is one of the largest RPs in the UK and a constituent member of the G15, the biggest RPs in London.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Fitch's rating case is a "through-the-cycle" scenario, which incorporates a combination of revenue, cost and financial risk stresses. It is based on FY20-FY24 historical figures and FY25-FY29 scenario assumptions:

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

Sustained deterioration of net debt/EBITDA above 18x on a sustained basis, or an adverse change to our assessment of key rating factors.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

A sustained improvement in net debt/EBITDA below 12x in the medium term, could lead to an upgrade.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

References for Substantially Material Source Cited as Key Driver Rating

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ♦ RATING ♦ PRIOR ♦

Metropolitan Housing
Trust Limited

senior secured	LT A- Downgrade	А
Metropolitan Thames Valley Housing Group	LT IDR A- Rating Outlook Stable Downgrade	A Rating Outlook Negative
	ST IDR F1 Downgrade	F1+
	LC LT IDR A- Rating Outlook Stable Downgrade	A Rating Outlook Negative
	LC ST IDR F1 Downgrade	F1+
senior unsecured	LT A- Downgrade	А
senior secured	LT A- Downgrade	А

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Michael Brooks, ACA

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+44 20 3530 1409

michael.brooks2@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Ltd

30 North Colonnade, Canary Wharf London E14 5GN

Ines Callahan

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+34 93 467 8745

ines.callahan@fitchratings.com

Davis Sun, CFA

Senior Director
Committee Chairperson
+86 21 6898 7992
davis.sun@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Athos Larkou

London +44 20 3530 1549 athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Public Policy Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 12 Jan 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 09 Jul 2024)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Metropolitan Housing Trust Limited UK Issued, EU Endorsed Metropolitan Thames Valley Housing Group UK Issued, EU Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information). Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the thirdparty verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its

reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for

rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dvO1, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.